## 

1 2	Douglas N. Letter, <i>General Counsel</i> (D.C. Bar No. 253492) Todd B. Tatelman, <i>Deputy General Counsel</i> (VA Bar No. 66008)			
3	Megan Barbero, Associate General Counsel (MA Bar No. 668854) Kristin A. Shapiro, Assistant General Counsel (D.C. Bar No. 1007010)			
4	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL			
5	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 219 Cannon House Office Building			
6	Washington, D.C. 20515 (202) 225-9700 (telephone)			
7	(202) 226-1360 (facsimile) douglas.letter@mail.house.gov			
8	Counsel for Amicus Curiae the United States House of Representatives			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	OAKLAND DIVISION			
11		G N 440 00002 110 G		
12	SIERRA CLUB and SOUTHERN BORDER COMMUNITIES COALITION,	Case No. 4:19-cv-00892-HSG		
13	Plaintiffs,	P.I. Hearing Date: May 17, 2019 Time: 10:00 AM		
14	v.	MOTION OF THE U.S. HOUSE		
15		OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR		
16	DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States, in his official capacity, <i>et al.</i> ,	LEAVE TO PRESENT ARGUMENT IN THE		
17	Defendants.	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING		
18				
19	The United States House of Representatives respectfully requests leave to present			
20	argument during the hearing on plaintiffs' motion	for a preliminary injunction, which is currently		
21	scheduled for May 17, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. ECF N	No. 39. This Court granted the House's motion		
22	for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae expressir	ng the views of the House in support of		
23	plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. E	CF No. 46. As discussed below and in our		
24	amicus brief, ECF No. 47, the House has a compo	elling institutional interest in the Court's grant of		
25	expedited relief to prohibit the Executive Branch defendants from spending federal funds without			
26 27	a valid Congressional appropriation. Because the expenditures challenged here usurp the House's			
28	Article I legislative authority, the House has a dis	tinct interest in this case and respectfully		
∪ں_				

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
)	6	

27

28

submits that its presentation of argument will aid the Court in its determination of the issues.

Counsel for plaintiffs Sierra Club and Southern Border Communities Coalition consent to this motion. Counsel for defendants Donald J. Trump, Patrick M. Shanahan, Kirstjen M. Nielsen, and Steven T. Mnuchin, in their official capacities, take no position on this motion.

This suit arises out of the Executive Branch defendants' expenditure of federal funds to construct a wall along the southern border of the United States in violation of the Appropriations Clause of the U.S. Constitution and the constitutional separation-of-powers principle. The Appropriations Clause provides that "[n]o Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law." U.S. Const. art. I, § 9, cl. 7. This Clause vests Congress with "exclusive power over the federal purse," and it is "one of the most important authorities allocated to Congress in the Constitution's 'necessary partition of power among the several departments." U.S. Dep't of the Navy v. FLRA, 665 F.3d 1339, 1346 (D.C. Cir. 2012) (quoting *The Federalist No. 51* (James Madison)).

As explained in our *amicus* brief, although Congress appropriated only \$1.375 billion for the construction of barriers along the southern border, the President announced that his Administration would spend up to \$8.1 billion. *See* ECF No. 47 at 7. The Administration claims that it has statutory authority to expend those funds, including pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 284 and 10 U.S.C. § 2808. *Id.* at 1-2. Those arguments are incorrect, as plaintiffs and the House have argued: the cited statutory provisions provide no authority for the expenditures on a border wall. Absent a valid Congressional appropriation, the defendants are violating the Appropriations Clause.

To protect its constitutional interests, the House has filed its own suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia challenging the Administration's expenditure of federal funds under Sections 284 and 2808 to construct a border wall. *See U.S. House of Representatives v. Mnuchin*, No. 19-cv-969 (D.D.C. Apr. 5, 2019). The House has also sought a preliminary injunction there and the district court has scheduled a hearing for May 24, 2019. To protect its same constitutional interests, the House filed an *amicus* brief in this suit urging the Court to grant the preliminary injunction.

## Case 4:19-cv-00892-HSG Document 80 Filed 05/02/19 Page 3 of 4

1	As noted at the outset, because the actions by the Executive Branch defendants here go to		
2	the very heart of an essential power of Congress, put in place by the Framers to protect the people		
3	of the United States from unchecked actions by the Federal Government, the House has a unique		
4	interest in this matter. Accordingly, the House respectfully requests leave to present argument		
5	during the hearing on the preliminary injunction.		
6	during the neuring on the premimitary injunction.		
7	CONCLUSION		
8	For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant the House's motion for leave to present		
9	argument in the preliminary injunction hearing scheduled for May 17, 2019.		
10	Respectfully submitted,		
11			
12	<u>/s/ Douglas N. Letter</u> DOUGLAS N. LETTER (D.C. Bar No. 253492)		
13	General Counsel TODD B. TATELMAN (VA Bar No. 66008)		
14	Deputy General Counsel		
15	MEGAN BARBERO (MA Bar No. 668854)  Associate General Counsel		
16	KRISTIN A. SHAPIRO (D.C. Bar No. 1007010)		
17	Assistant General Counsel		
18	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES*		
19	219 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515		
	(202) 225-9700 (telephone)		
20	(202) 226-1360 (facsimile) douglas.letter@mail.house.gov		
21	Counsel for Amicus Curiae the United States House		
22	of Representatives		
23			
24			
25	***************************************		
26	* Attorneys for the Office of General Counsel for the U.S. House of Representatives are "entitled, for the purpose of performing the counsel's functions, to enter an appearance in any		
27	proceeding before any court of the United States or of any State or political subdivision thereof		
28	without compliance with any requirements for admission to practice before such court." 2 U.S.C. § 5571.		